# accretion discs, low-mass protostars & protoplanets: the role of magnetic fields

## JF Donati, LATT

LAOG: Bouvier, Ménard, Dougados, Ferreira UK: Jardine, Gregory, Cameron, Harries ENS: Hennebelle, Chabrier, Baraffe IAP: Terquem

# 

## HHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH background

origin of the Sun & the solar system

Kant (1755) & Laplace (1799) solar system formed from a rotating nebula condensing into a central star & surrounding planets

# background

origin of the Sun & the solar system

Kant (1755) & Laplace (1799) solar system formed from a rotating nebula condensing into a central star & surrounding planets

### a magnetic cloud collapse & accretion disc ?

angular momentum transport in accretion discs? > magnetic turbulence (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973) & magnetorotational instability (eg Balbus & Hawley 1991)

discovery of collimated jets around protostars (eg Snell et al 1980) > magnetocentrifugal model (eg Norman & Pudritz 1983)

## origin of the Sun & the

conden

ba

© ESO

## a magnetic cloud collapse

an > magnetic turbulence (S

discovery of collimated > magnetod

# background

origin of the Sun & the solar system

Kant (1755) & Laplace (1799) solar system formed from a rotating nebula condensing into a central star & surrounding planets

### a magnetic cloud collapse & accretion disc ?

angular momentum transport in accretion discs? > magnetic turbulence (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973) & magnetorotational instability (eg Balbus & Hawley 1991)

discovery of collimated jets around protostars (eg Snell et al 1980) > magnetocentrifugal model (eg Norman & Pudritz 1983)



# background

origin of the Sun & the solar system

Kant (1755) & Laplace (1799) solar system formed from a rotating nebula condensing into a central star & surrounding planets

### a magnetic cloud collapse & accretion disc ?

angular momentum transport in accretion discs? > magnetic turbulence (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973) & magnetorotational instability (eg Balbus & Hawley 1991)

discovery of collimated jets around protostars (eg Snell et al 1980) > magnetocentrifugal model (eg Norman & Pudritz 1983)

# 

## HHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH background

evacuating the disc core & braking stellar rotating ?

SED fitting and HAR imaging show central hole in accretion disc > disc core disrupted by magnetic field of protostar (eg Konigl 1991)

slow rotation of accreting protostars (cTTSs, eg Bertout 1989)
> magnetic coupling with inner accretion disc (eg Konigl 1991)



## HHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH background

evacuating the disc core & braking stellar rotating ?

SED fitting and HAR imaging show central hole in accretion disc > disc core disrupted by magnetic field of protostar (eg Konigl 1991)

slow rotation of accreting protostars (cTTSs, eg Bertout 1989)
> magnetic coupling with inner accretion disc (eg Konigl 1991)

## HHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH background

evacuating the disc core & braking stellar rotating ?

SED fitting and HAR imaging show central hole in accretion disc > disc core disrupted by magnetic field of protostar (eg Konigl 1991)

slow rotation of accreting protostars (cTTSs, eg Bertout 1989) > magnetic coupling with inner accretion disc (eg Konigl 1991)

## magnetic fields & planet formation/migration ?

planet formed by dust accumulation or disc fragmentation? giant planet migration stopped by magnetic fields ? (Terquem 2003) disc fragmentation inhibited by magnetic fields ? (Fromang et al 2005)

close-in giant planets formed from slingshot prominences ? (Kurucz 2007)



## HHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH background

evacuating the disc core & braking stellar rotating ?

SED fitting and HAR imaging show central hole in accretion disc > disc core disrupted by magnetic field of protostar (eg Konigl 1991)

slow rotation of accreting protostars (cTTSs, eg Bertout 1989) > magnetic coupling with inner accretion disc (eg Konigl 1991)

## magnetic fields & planet formation/migration ?

planet formed by dust accumulation or disc fragmentation? giant planet migration stopped by magnetic fields ? (Terquem 2003) disc fragmentation inhibited by magnetic fields ? (Fromang et al 2005)

close-in giant planets formed from slingshot prominences ? (Kurucz 2007)

#### magnetic disruption & coupling

magnetic torques build up from rotation shear (Ghosh & Lamb 1979)
> disrupt the inner disc & generate star/disc angular momentum transfer
> rotation rate of protostar forced into equilibrium state (fn of massaccretion rate and large-scale field, eg Cameron & Campbell 1993)
> reproduces slow rotation of cTTSs



#### magnetic disruption & coupling

magnetic torques build up from rotation shear (Ghosh & Lamb 1979)
> disrupt the inner disc & generate star/disc angular momentum transfer
> rotation rate of protostar forced into equilibrium state (fn of massaccretion rate and large-scale field, eg Cameron & Campbell 1993)
> reproduces slow rotation of cTTSs

#### magnetic disruption & coupling

magnetic torques build up from rotation shear (Ghosh & Lamb 1979)
> disrupt the inner disc & generate star/disc angular momentum transfer
> rotation rate of protostar forced into equilibrium state (fn of massaccretion rate and large-scale field, eg Cameron & Campbell 1993)
> reproduces slow rotation of cTTSs

#### wind/corona & field opening

wind from the star /disc opens field lines (eg Safier 1998) > reduces coupling and spin-down torque > cannot reproduce observed rotation of cTTSs (eg Matt & Pudritz 2004)

#### 3D MHD accretion models

disc material accreted through tilted magnetosphere > complex stream-like accretion funnels linking star to inner disc > polar hot spots at footpoints of accretion funnels for dipolar fields (eg Romanova et al 2003, 2004; Long et al 2007)

> angular momentum balance (including corona) > reproduces observed rotation periods of cTTSs (eg Long et al 2005)



#### 3D MHD accretion models

disc material accreted through tilted magnetosphere > complex stream-like accretion funnels linking star to inner disc > polar hot spots at footpoints of accretion funnels for dipolar fields (eg Romanova et al 2003, 2004; Long et al 2007)

> angular momentum balance (including corona) > reproduces observed rotation periods of cTTSs (eg Long et al 2005)

**3D MHD accretion models** 



#### 3D MHD accretion models

disc material accreted through tilted magnetosphere > complex stream-like accretion funnels linking star to inner disc > polar hot spots at footpoints of accretion funnels for dipolar fields (eg Romanova et al 2003, 2004; Long et al 2007)

> angular momentum balance (including corona) > reproduces observed rotation periods of cTTSs (eg Long et al 2005)

#### 3D MHD accretion models

disc material accreted through tilted magnetosphere > complex stream-like accretion funnels linking star to inner disc > polar hot spots at footpoints of accretion funnels for dipolar fields (eg Romanova et al 2003, 2004; Long et al 2007)

> angular momentum balance (including corona) > reproduces observed rotation periods of cTTSs (eg Long et al 2005)

### 2.5D models including disc field & wind

including disc dynamo & wind > large fraction of disc material diverted into wind > complex star/disc dynamo fields less efficient at slowing down cTTSs (eg vonReckowski & Brandenburg 2004, 2006)

#### Zeeman effect in stellar lines

B splits spectral lines in multiple subcomponents splitting increases linearly with wavelength (wrt line Doppler width) B produces circular & linear polarisation signatures in lines profiles



#### Zeeman effect in stellar lines

B splits spectral lines in multiple subcomponents splitting increases linearly with wavelength (wrt line Doppler width) B produces circular & linear polarisation signatures in lines profiles

### Zeeman effect in stellar lines

B splits spectral lines in multiple subcomponents splitting increases linearly with wavelength (wrt line Doppler width) B produces circular & linear polarisation signatures in lines profiles

unpolarised light

detect Zeeman broadening & desaturation sensitive to average field strength (and filling factor) > high resolution (nIR) spectroscopy (eg Phoenix/Gemini)

### Zeeman effect in stellar lines

B splits spectral lines in multiple subcomponents splitting increases linearly with wavelength (wrt line Doppler width) B produces circular & linear polarisation signatures in lines profiles

### unpolarised light

detect Zeeman broadening & desaturation sensitive to average field strength (and filling factor) > high resolution (nIR) spectroscopy (eg Phoenix/Gemini)

#### polarised light

detect circular (linear) polarisation Zeeman signatures sensitive to longitudinal (transverse) magnetic field > high resolution spectropolarimeters (eg ESPaDOnS/CFHT, NARVAL/TBL)

in photospheric absorption lines (eg nIR) > average magnetic flux of several kG eg: 2.8 kG on BP Tau (Johns-Krull et al 1999) magnetic flux x2 stronger in the nIR than in the optical > strong magnetic fields concentrating in dark spots

field detected in ~15 cTTS (mostly in Taurus)

magnetic broadening


in photospheric absorption lines (eg nIR) > average magnetic flux of several kG eg: 2.8 kG on BP Tau (Johns-Krull et al 1999) magnetic flux x2 stronger in the nIR than in the optical > strong magnetic fields concentrating in dark spots

field detected in ~15 cTTS (mostly in Taurus)

magnetic broadening

in photospheric absorption lines (eg nIR) > average magnetic flux of several kG eg: 2.8 kG on BP Tau (Johns-Krull et al 1999) magnetic flux x2 stronger in the nIR than in the optical > strong magnetic fields concentrating in dark spots

field detected in ~15 cTTS (mostly in Taurus)

pros: no signal cancellation from opposite polarities > estimates average field strength and rough surface coverage

cons: very little sensitivity to field orientation
only possible for slow rotators (vsini < 10 km/s)
> no constraint on field topology

magnetic broadening

pros & cons

magnetic strengths of cTTSs

magnetic fluxes ranging from 1 to 3 kG (Johns-Krull 2007) significantly larger than equipartition fields

magnetic strengths of cTTSs

magnetic fluxes ranging from 1 to 3 kG (Johns-Krull 2007) significantly larger than equipartition fields

validity of disc-locking?

no correlation between magnetic flux and disc-locking field



magnetic strengths of cTTSs

magnetic fluxes ranging from 1 to 3 kG (Johns-Krull 2007) significantly larger than equipartition fields

validity of disc-locking?

no correlation between magnetic flux and disc-locking field

in emission lines tracing accretion (eg He I D3, Ca II IRT) > tracing monopolar longitudinal fields of several kG eg: 3 kG on BP Tau in He I D3 (Johns-Krull et al 1999)

in photospheric absorption lines (eg LSD profiles) > tracing more complex longitudinal fields of a few 100 G eg: ~500 G on BP Tau (Donati et al 2007)

longitudinal fields detected in ~10 cTTSs

circular polarisation signatures



in emission lines tracing accretion (eg He I D3, Ca II IRT) > tracing monopolar longitudinal fields of several kG eg: 3 kG on BP Tau in He I D3 (Johns-Krull et al 1999)

in photospheric absorption lines (eg LSD profiles) > tracing more complex longitudinal fields of a few 100 G eg: ~500 G on BP Tau (Donati et al 2007)

longitudinal fields detected in ~10 cTTSs

circular polarisation signatures

in emission lines tracing accretion (eg He I D3, Ca II IRT) > tracing monopolar longitudinal fields of several kG eg: 3 kG on BP Tau in He I D3 (Johns-Krull et al 1999)

in photospheric absorption lines (eg LSD profiles) > tracing more complex longitudinal fields of a few 100 G eg: ~500 G on BP Tau (Donati et al 2007)

longitudinal fields detected in ~10 cTTSs

pros: sensitive to vector field properties > map large-scale magnetic topology (using tomographic imaging)

circular polarisation signatures

pros & cons

use spectropolarimetric series...

rotational modulation of Zeeman signature (Doppler effect) > recover spot location and field orientation



#### accretin

use spectropolarimetric se rotational modu



#### accretin

use spectropolarimetric se rotational modu





use spectropolarimetric series...

rotational modulation of Zeeman signature (Doppler effect) > recover spot location and field orientation

### 

use spectropolarimetric series...

rotational modulation of Zeeman signature (Doppler effect) > recover spot location and field orientation

... to reconstruct surface magnetic topology...

use spherical harmonics decomposition and tomographic imaging > infer magnetic topology (poloidal/toroidal components)

### 

use spectropolarimetric series...

rotational modulation of Zeeman signature (Doppler effect) > recover spot location and field orientation

... to reconstruct surface magnetic topology...

use spherical harmonics decomposition and tomographic imaging > infer magnetic topology (poloidal/toroidal components)

...and extrapolate it outwards

assume potential field topology > get 3D image of stellar magnetosphere

#### Zeeman signatures

different Zeeman signatures in LSD profiles & emission lines
(i) assume accretion spot contributing to emission lines only
(ii) assume surrounding photosphere contributes to LSD profiles only
> fit Stokes I & V LSD and Ca II IRT profiles simultaneously
> derive maps of magnetic field & accretion spots



Zeeman signatures different Zeeman (i) assume accre (ii) assume surrounding p > fit Stokes I & V > deri

accretin

#### Zeeman signatures

different Zeeman signatures in LSD profiles & emission lines
(i) assume accretion spot contributing to emission lines only
(ii) assume surrounding photosphere contributes to LSD profiles only
> fit Stokes I & V LSD and Ca II IRT profiles simultaneously
> derive maps of magnetic field & accretion spots

#### Zeeman signatures

different Zeeman signatures in LSD profiles & emission lines
(i) assume accretion spot contributing to emission lines only
(ii) assume surrounding photosphere contributes to LSD profiles only
> fit Stokes I & V LSD and Ca II IRT profiles simultaneously
> derive maps of magnetic field & accretion spots

#### magnetic field and accretion spots

accretion spot located close to the pole kG radial field in the accretion spot small toroidal field (10-20% of magnetic energy) large scale poloidal field includes dipole+octupole components > V2129 Oph : d=0.35; o=1.2 kG > BP Tau : d=1.2; o=1.6 kG > dynamo origin likely



0.00

#### Zeeman signatures

different Zeeman signatures in LSD profiles & emission lines
(i) assume accretion spot contributing to emission lines only
(ii) assume surrounding photosphere contributes to LSD profiles only
> fit Stokes I & V LSD and Ca II IRT profiles simultaneously
> derive maps of magnetic field & accretion spots

#### magnetic field and accretion spots

accretion spot located close to the pole kG radial field in the accretion spot small toroidal field (10-20% of magnetic energy) large scale poloidal field includes dipole+octupole components > V2129 Oph : d=0.35; o=1.2 kG > BP Tau : d=1.2; o=1.6 kG > dynamo origin likely

#### coronal structure

work by M Jardine & S Gregory @ StAndrews extrapolate surface field assuming no current (potential) complex field lines close to the surface simple large loops further away Xray luminosity compatible with observations

#### **a** C

coronal struct

# Č tivet al 2007a

#### coronal structure

work by M Jardine & S Gregory @ StAndrews extrapolate surface field assuming no current (potential) complex field lines close to the surface simple large loops further away Xray luminosity compatible with observations

#### coronal structure

work by M Jardine & S Gregory @ StAndrews extrapolate surface field assuming no current (potential) complex field lines close to the surface simple large loops further away Xray luminosity compatible with observations

#### geometry of accretion funnels

compute location of accreting field lines for various r<sub>A</sub> select value of r<sub>A</sub> producing accretion spots matching observations > V2129 Oph : r<sub>A</sub> ≈ 7 R\* (≈ r<sub>c</sub>) > BP Tau : r<sub>A</sub> ≥5 R\* (r<sub>c</sub> ≈ 7.5 R\*) > disc magnetically warped in BP Tau ? disc-locking scenario ?





#### coronal structure

work by M Jardine & S Gregory @ StAndrews extrapolate surface field assuming no current (potential) complex field lines close to the surface simple large loops further away Xray luminosity compatible with observations

#### geometry of accretion funnels

compute location of accreting field lines for various r<sub>A</sub> select value of r<sub>A</sub> producing accretion spots matching observations > V2129 Oph : r<sub>A</sub> ≈ 7 R\* (≈ r<sub>c</sub>) > BP Tau : r<sub>A</sub> ≥5 R\* (r<sub>c</sub> ≈ 7.5 R\*) > disc magnetically warped in BP Tau ? disc-locking scenario ?
indirect indicators : photopolarimetric rotational modulation

AA Tau: prototype cTTS viewed ~equator on

modelling photopolarimetric rotational modulation
quasi-periodic occultations by warped disc with inner edge at 8-9 R\* (Bouvier et al 1999, 2007; Ménard et al 2003)
non stationary magnetospheric accretion (Bouvier et al 2003, 2007)
5.2 kG dipole tilted by 30° to explain warp (O'Sullivan et al 2005)

indirect indicators : photopolarimetric rotational modulation



indirect indicators : photopolarimetric rotational modulation

AA Tau: prototype cTTS viewed ~equator on

modelling photopolarimetric rotational modulation
quasi-periodic occultations by warped disc with inner edge at 8-9 R\* (Bouvier et al 1999, 2007; Ménard et al 2003)
non stationary magnetospheric accretion (Bouvier et al 2003, 2007)
5.2 kG dipole tilted by 30° to explain warp (O'Sullivan et al 2005)

indirect indicators : Xrays

COUP: 10d observation of Orion Nebula Cluster with Chandra XEST: Extended Survey of the Taurus Molecular Cloud with XMM

cTTSs show L<sub>x</sub>/L<sub>bol</sub> > 10<sup>-5</sup>, ie >10x brighter than the Sun cTTSs emit 1/2 as much X-rays wrt/ non-accreting TTSs no activity vs. rotation dependence of Xray flux (saturation) Xray and optical variability do not correlate Xray correlate with M\*

most (hard 10–30 MK) Xrays come from coronal activity little (soft 1–3 MK) Xrays from accretion shocks most X-rays come from small field loops evidence for large magnetic loops from Xray flare data



indirect indicators : Xrays

COUP: 10d observation of Orion Nebula Cluster with Chandra XEST: Extended Survey of the Taurus Molecular Cloud with XMM

cTTSs show L<sub>x</sub>/L<sub>bol</sub> > 10<sup>-5</sup>, ie >10x brighter than the Sun cTTSs emit 1/2 as much X-rays wrt/ non-accreting TTSs no activity vs. rotation dependence of Xray flux (saturation) Xray and optical variability do not correlate Xray correlate with M\*

most (hard 10–30 MK) Xrays come from coronal activity little (soft 1–3 MK) Xrays from accretion shocks most X-rays come from small field loops evidence for large magnetic loops from Xray flare data

## 

## HUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUU Magnefised discs

models of magnetised discs

cloud collapse & advection of large-scale magnetic field
 producing jets through magnetocentrifugal mechanism
 self-similar models of magnetised discs & jets (eg Ferreira et al 1997)
 MHD simulations of magnetised collapse (eg Banerjee & Pudritz 2006)
 predict orientation & distribution of magnetic field in accretion disc
 predict dominant poloidal field component in disc

models of magnetised discs

> self-similar MHD simul > predict o



## HUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUU Magnefised discs

models of magnetised discs

cloud collapse & advection of large-scale magnetic field
 producing jets through magnetocentrifugal mechanism
 self-similar models of magnetised discs & jets (eg Ferreira et al 1997)
 MHD simulations of magnetised collapse (eg Banerjee & Pudritz 2006)
 predict orientation & distribution of magnetic field in accretion disc
 predict dominant poloidal field component in disc

### HHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH Magnefised discs

models of magnetised discs

cloud collapse & advection of large-scale magnetic field
 producing jets through magnetocentrifugal mechanism
 self-similar models of magnetised discs & jets (eg Ferreira et al 1997)
 MHD simulations of magnetised collapse (eg Banerjee & Pudritz 2006)
 predict orientation & distribution of magnetic field in accretion disc
 predict dominant poloidal field component in disc

MHD simulations of magnetised accretion discs w/ dynamo fields
> dominantly toroidal MRI-dynamo field (Brandenburg et al 1995)
> uncollimated outflow - no jet (eg von Rekowski et al 2003)

### HHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH Magnefised discs

models of magnetised discs

cloud collapse & advection of large-scale magnetic field
 producing jets through magnetocentrifugal mechanism
 self-similar models of magnetised discs & jets (eg Ferreira et al 1997)
 MHD simulations of magnetised collapse (eg Banerjee & Pudritz 2006)
 predict orientation & distribution of magnetic field in accretion disc
 predict dominant poloidal field component in disc

MHD simulations of magnetised accretion discs w/ dynamo fields
 > dominantly toroidal MRI-dynamo field (Brandenburg et al 1995)
 > uncollimated outflow - no jet (eg von Rekowski et al 2003)

planet formation/migration in magnetic discs giant planet migration stopped by magnetic fields ? (Terquem 2003) disc fragmentation inhibited by magnetic fields ? (Fromang et al 2005)

## 

# under an antised discs

detecting magnetic fields in accretion discs

Zeeman signatures in LSD profiles of FU Ori (Donati et al 2005) > kG equipartition field near centre (<0.1au) of accretion disc



# under an antised discs

detecting magnetic fields in accretion discs

Zeeman signatures in LSD profiles of FU Ori (Donati et al 2005) > kG equipartition field near centre (<0.1au) of accretion disc

# 

detecting magnetic fields in accretion discs

Zeeman signatures in LSD profiles of FU Ori (Donati et al 2005) > kG equipartition field near centre (<0.1au) of accretion disc

#### modelling disc magnetic field

assuming axisymmetric magnetic field configuration in the disc decomposing Zeeman signature in symmetric and antisymmetric components > 20% of disc plasma rotating @ 1/3 of Keplerian velocity > dominant poloidal + half as strong toroidal field



# 

detecting magnetic fields in accretion discs

Zeeman signatures in LSD profiles of FU Ori (Donati et al 2005) > kG equipartition field near centre (<0.1au) of accretion disc

#### modelling disc magnetic field

assuming axisymmetric magnetic field configuration in the disc decomposing Zeeman signature in symmetric and antisymmetric components > 20% of disc plasma rotating @ 1/3 of Keplerian velocity > dominant poloidal + half as strong toroidal field

formation/migration of close-in giant planets ?

spectral variability of FU Ori @ periods of 3.5, 7 and 14.8d > induced by planets @ 0.03, 0.05 & 0.09au ? (Clarke & Armitage 2003) > migrating planets ? stopped by magnetic fields ?

formation/migration of close-in giant planets ?

spectral variability of FU Ori @ periods of 3.5, 7 and 14.8d > induced by planets @ 0.03, 0.05 & 0.09au ? (Clarke & Armitage 2003) > migrating planets ? stopped by magnetic fields ?

#### modelling FU Ori

ESPaDOnS data confirm variability of Stokes I & V LSD profiles

need well sampled data over 15d to confirm modulation & find periods apply tomographic imaging on Stokes I and V profiles derive density & magnetic field maps of the inner accretion disc (<0.1au) density gaps ? coinciding with toroidal rings ? long-term evolution ?



## disc fields,

formation/migration of cla spectral variabilit > induced by planets @ 0.03, > migr

### modelling FU Ori

ESPaDOnS data conf

need well sampled data or apply tor derive density & magnetic fie density gaps ? coincidir

formation/migration of close-in giant planets ?

spectral variability of FU Ori @ periods of 3.5, 7 and 14.8d > induced by planets @ 0.03, 0.05 & 0.09au ? (Clarke & Armitage 2003) > migrating planets ? stopped by magnetic fields ?

#### modelling FU Ori

ESPaDOnS data confirm variability of Stokes I & V LSD profiles

need well sampled data over 15d to confirm modulation & find periods apply tomographic imaging on Stokes I and V profiles derive density & magnetic field maps of the inner accretion disc (<0.1au) density gaps ? coinciding with toroidal rings ? long-term evolution ?

observations: cTTS: determine large-scale field & accretion patterns characterise dependence wrt/ stellar parameters and temporal evolution

discs: determine magnetic field & density distribution close to disc centre find out relation to jets & protoplanets

investigate origin of magnetic fields & evaluate impact on stellar formation

observations: cTTS: determine large-scale field & accretion patterns characterise dependence wrt/ stellar parameters and temporal evolution

discs: determine magnetic field & density distribution close to disc centre find out relation to jets & protoplanets

investigate origin of magnetic fields & evaluate impact on stellar formation

models increase effort at modelling magnetised star/planet formation increase collaborative effort between data modelling and simulations

observations: cTTS: determine large-scale field & accretion patterns characterise dependence wrt/ stellar parameters and temporal evolution

discs: determine magnetic field & density distribution close to disc centre find out relation to jets & protoplanets

investigate origin of magnetic fields & evaluate impact on stellar formation

models increase effort at modelling magnetised star/planet formation increase collaborative effort between data modelling and simulations

#### instruments

use & abuse of existing instruments (LPs on ESPaDOnS/NARVAL) promote/build Cass polarimeters for existing high-res spectrographs build new instruments: eg SPIRou (nIR spectropolarimeter 0.9-2.4 mic)