Revues de A ... > Nature > 373(6509) January 1995 > Jet-like features near ...

Nature

Numéro : Volume 373(6509), 5 January 1995, pp 46-49 Copyright : © 1995 Macmillan Magazines Ltd. Type de publication : [LETTER TO NATURE] ISSN : 0028-0836 Accès : 00006056-199501050-00021

[LETTER TO NATURE]

Jet-like features near the nucleus of Chiron

Elliot, J. L.; Olkin, C. B.; Dunham, E. W.; Ford, C. H.; Gilmore, D. K.; Kurtz, D.; Lazzaro, D.; Rank, D. M.; Temi, P.; Bandyopadhyay, R. M.; Barroso, J.; Barucci, A.; Bosh, A. S.; Buie, M. W.; Bus, S. J.; Dahn, C. C.; Foryta, D. W.; Hubbard, W. B.; Lopes, D. F.; Marcialis, R. L.; McDonald, S. W.; Millis, R. L.; Reitsema, H.; Schleicher, D. G.; Sicardy, B.; Stone, R. P. S.; Wasserman, L. H.

Informations sur l'auteur

Department of Earth, Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139-4307, USA (J. Elliot, C. Olkin, R. Bandyopadhyay, S. Bus, S. McDonald); Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139-4307, USA (J. Elliot); Lowell Observatory, Flagstaff, Arizona 86001, USA (J. Elliot, C. Olkin, A. Bosh, M. Buie, R. Millis, D. Schleicher, L. Wasserman); NASA Ames Research Center, Space Science, MS 245-6, Moffett Field, California 94035-1000, USA (E. Dunham); SETI Institute, 2035 Landings Drive, Mountain View, California 94043, USA (C. Ford); University of California, Lick Observatory, Santa Cruz, California 95064, USA (D. Gilmore, D. Rank, P. Temi); University of Cape Town, Private Bag, Rondebosch 7700, Cape Town, South Africa (D. Kurtz); CNPq/Observatorio Nacional, DAF, 20921 Rio de Janeiro, Brazil (D. Lazzaro, J. Barroso, D. Lopes); Observatorie de Paris, 92195 Meudon Cedex Principal, France. US Naval Observatory, PO Box 1149, Flagstaff, Arizona 86002-1149, USA (A. Barucci, B. Sicardy); UFPR/Dep. Fisica, Centro Politecnico, 80000 Curitiba, Brazil (D. Foryta); Lunar and Planetary Laboratory, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85709, USA (R. Marcialis); Pima Community College, 2202 West Anklam Road, Tucson, Arizona 85709, USA (R. Marcialis); Ball Aerospace, PO Box 1062, Boulder, Colorado 80306-1062, USA (H. Reitsema); Universite Paris 6, UFR physique 924, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France (B. Sicardy); Lick Observatory, Mount Hamilton, California 95140, USA (R. Stone).

Abstract

Considered as a comet, the object 2060 Chiron is unusual in two respects: it exhibits outbursts at very large distances from the Sun [1-3], and its nucleus is much larger than that of any other known comet [4,5]. It is, however, similar in size to the recently discovered Kuiper-belt objects [6] --a population of objects with orbits beyond Neptune, which are a possible source of short-period comets. This has led to the conjecture that Chiron is related to these objects, but its chaotic orbit has brought it much closer to the Sun [7]. Here we report observations of a recent stellar occultation by Chiron which permit the identification of several features associated with Chiron's coma. The observation of discrete, jet-like features provides evidence that the coma material originates from just a few, small active areas, rather than from uniform sublimation, and that the particles in at least one of these features have radii greater than 0.25 micrometer. The observations also suggest the presence of material in the plane of Chiron's orbit and are consistent with a gravitationally bound coma. Finally, the present data, and those from a previous occultation [8], constrain the radius of Chiron to lie between 83 and 156 km.

The 9 March 1994 occultation of Ch08 (GSC248-01674) [9] by Chiron was successfully observed with two telescopes: the 0.9-m telescope aboard NASA's Kuiper Airborne Observatory (KAO) flying near Recife, Brazil and the 0.5-m telescope at the South African Astronomical Observatory (SAAO) at Sutherland. We attempted observations with five other telescopes in Brazil. But their positions turned out to be far from the final predicted shadow path of

Chiron, and all were clouded out. Instrumental parameters for the observations are specified in Table 1. Compared with its photometric history [2], Chiron was relatively faint at the time of our observations, with a standard magnitude [10] $H_v = 6.6 + -0.1$.

Telescope (diameter)	Detector	Filter	Recording interval (ut)	Number of integrations	Integration time (s)	S/N (for 1 s)	S/N (for 10 km)
KAO (0.9 m)	CCD	None*	23:20:01- 23:36:40.5	2,000	0.5	52	38
KAO (0.9 m)	HgCdTe array	к	23:20:01- 23:36:40.5	1,000	1.0	5.8	4.3
SAAO (0.5 m)	PMT	v	22:58:53- 23:42:40 [†]	13,266	0.1†	20	15

The occulted star is the brighter of two stars that have the combined photometric measurements: V = 11.95 mag, (B - V) = 0.92, (V - R) = 0.42, (V - R) = 0.86, (V - K) = 1.9. The fainter companion star lies at a distance of 3.27 arcsec from the brighter one, at a position angle of 19.6°, and it has magnitude differences with Ch08 of 2.15 in the K band and 3.83 in a broadband red filter (6,600 – 8,300 Å), used by the US Naval Observatory. The KAO optical data were recorded with a high-speed CCD (charge-coupled device) photometra²⁴, using two 60 × 60 pixel subframes (0.98 arcsec) per pixel; one subframe contained the merged images of Chiron, Ch08 and the faint companion star: the other contained A merged images of Chiron, Ch08 and the faint companion star: the other contained the merged images of Chiron, Ch08 and the faint companion star: the other contained the merged images of Chiron, Ch08 and the faint companion star: the other contained A field star of brightness; comparable to Ch08 and 4.38 arcmin away. A continuous series of 2,000 subframe pairs were recorded, and the timing of the series was related to ur with a GPS clock. A beamsplitter enabled simultaneous infrared observations to be carried out with a NICMOS HgCdTe array (128 × 128 ×

10 km interval in the sky-plane. * The unfiltered CO2 response and stellar spectrum combine for a mean wavelength of ~0.68 μm. † The differed CO2 response and stellar spectrum combine for a mean wavelength of ~0.68 μm. † The end time of the SAAO data is not precisely known. The value here was calculated for a deadtime of 0.1 s between integrations for 98% of the data, and no deadtime between the remaining 2% of the integrations (as the deadtime between successive integrations was 0.1 s about 9% of the time and 0.0 s for the remaining 2%.

Table 1. Observations of the Ch08 occultation by Chiron

We generated a light curve from the KAO optical data using the technique of point-spread-function (PSF) model fitting. The infrared light curve was produced by doing aperture photometry with a synthetic aperture three pixels in radius (image radii ranged between 1.0 and 2.0 pixels). The SAAO light curve was obtained directly from the recorded photomultiplier-tube integrations. Sections of the light curves that bracket Chiron's closet approach to the star are shown in Figure 1. A sharp drop and recovery occurs in all light curves, which we shall denote as feature 1 (F1). This appears similar to a feature in the Palomar light curve for the earlier Chiron occultation--one interpretation of which is a dust jet [8]. In addition, broader and shallower occultation features are particularly evident in the KAO optical light curve. To show the occultation features more clearly, shorter sections of the light curves are shown in Figure 1, right panel.



Figure 1. Chiron occultation light curves. Portions of the stellar flux (normalized to 1.0 for the unocculted star) from Ch08 have been plotted versus UT for an interval that includes Chiron's closest approach to Ch08 (shown by the dotted line labelled "CA"). The left-hand panel is at full resolution. The right-hand panel includes only data near closest approach; to enhance the signal-to-noise ratio, data outside the sharp drop (feature 1, F1) have been averaged to 2.0 s. The segment of the light curves comprising F1 have been left at full resolution for the KAO data, whereas the SAAO data have been averaged to 0.4 s (two integration times and two deadtimes). In the left-hand papel the error bars are $\pm/-1$ sigma, and the 1.000-km spatial scale at Chiron (for the KAO shadow velocity of 18.32) km s sup -1) is approximately the resolution of the Hubble Space Telescope. The orbit-plane crossing (OPC) time is indicated in the right-hand panel. A sharp drop and recovery (F1) is evident in all three light curves. As the paths of the KAO and SAAO were separated in Chiron's shadow plane by only 1.4 km at closest approach (107.8 km for the KAO and 106.4 km for SAAO), we have assumed that F1 was caused by the same material for both stations. We therefore corrected for the unknown timing offset in the SAAO data by retarding the time axis of the light curve by 309.9 s to align the sharp feature with that of the KAO. Near 680 s, there is a gap in the SAAO light curve, where a noise spike was removed. These spikes reached a flux level 100 percent above the Ch08 level and were removed before calculating the unocculted level for Ch08. See text for description of the features.

In addition to F1 in the KAO optical light curve, we also see a broader feature (F2), that has a full-width at halfmaximum (FWHM) of approximately 74 km, but less optical depth. Due to lower signal-to-noise ratio, F2 is not detectable in the infrared or SAAO light curves (although there is a hint of it in the former). Also, we note in the KAO optical light curve a low-level attenuation of the stellar signal that begins at approximately 517 s after the reference time and a similar attenuation between F1 and F2. Noting that F2 has a steeper slope on its leading edge than its trailing edge, one could interpret F2 as a symmetrical feature embedded in a low-level attenuation that ends at the trailing edge of F2. Without knowing how to apportion the optical depth into real, physical features, we have taken the liberty of labelling F3 as a feature that begins with the first low-level attenuation and ends at the trailing edge of F2. Finally, a feature labelled F4 is present in the KAO optical light curve. Its significance is 3 sigma (well below the detection limits of Earth-based imaging), and it occurs when the star aligns with Chiron's orbit plane, where material may be expected. Table 2 gives information about the optical depths and widths of the features.

Feature	Light curve	Midtime (s after 23:20:01 ut)	Distance from Chiron's centre (km)*	Averaging interval (km)	Maximum optical depth measured	FWHM (km)	Integrated optical depth (km)†	Morphology
1	KAO, optical KAO, infrared SAAO	533.7	126±16	9.2 18.3 7.3	0.92 ± 0.07 0.68 ± 0.34 0.98 ± 0.46	5.5-9.2 9.0-18.3 4.5-7.3	13.2 ± 1.3 12.4 ± 6.2 7.2 ± 3.4	Narrow, deep, symmetrical
2	KAO, optical	552.4	295 ± 16	Model fit	0.11 ± 0.02	74 ± 15	4.1 ± 1.3	Asymmetric?
3	KAO, optical	537.4	108 ± 16	Model fit	0.027 ± 0.006	513 ± 169	21.6 ± 5.0	Broad, flat
4	KAO, optical	575.7	712 ± 16	Model fit	0.07 ± 0.04	12 ± 10	1.6 ± 0.5	3σ

The averaging interval is equal to the product of the apparent shadow velocity from the site and the integration interval for the data, and the The averaging interval is equal to the product of the apparent shadow velocity from the sate and the integration interval for the data, and the maximum measured optical depth is derived from the integration having the lowest signal level. The differences between the integration time value optical depth is derived from the integration time value optical light curve, because the integration time is longer than for SAAO, (2) the duty cycle of the SAAO data recording is 50%, whereas that for the KAO optical light curve, because the integration time is longer than for SAAO, (2) the duty cycle of the SAAO data recording is 50%, whereas that for the KAO data is 100%, or (3) F1 changed during the 310 s that elapsed between the recording of F1 at the two stations. The maximum optical depths and widths of F2, F3 and F4 were determined from fitting a lorentzian model.

The integrated optical depths depend on how one chooses to separate the features. For features 1 and 2 (F1 and F2) of the KAO optical curve, the integrated optical depth was determined by taking the logarithm of the summed normalized stellar flux for all points in the feature (after correcting for the optical depth per point from F3 of 0.027). This optical depth was then converted to kilometres by multiplying by the velocity and orrecting for the optical depth per point from F3 of 0.027). This optical depth was then converted to kilometres by multiplying by the velocity and tegration time. For F3 the integrated optical depth was determined by model fitting (to include the optical depth work how when this feature is embedded in the other features). The integrated optical depth was determined by model fitting to include the optical depth work optical depth was determined by model fitting. Finally, the integrated optical depths ye range over two points (for a time interval of 0.4 s, including the deadtime). Two points (for a time interval of 0.4 s, including the deadtime).

age optical depth in the infrared. The extinction ratio (infrared to optical) is 1.31 ± 0.65

Table 2. Material detected near Chiron

To establish the geometrical relationship of these features in the light curves to the nucleus of Chiron, in Figure 2 we have plotted a Chiron-centred sky frame [11] that shows the orbit plane, the track of the star from both stations, and the direction to the Sun. Because Chiron was only 15 degrees from opposition, the largest component of e . 1

the vector to the sum is actually perpendicular to the plane of Figure 2. The relative position of the star and chirons centre of light (assumed to be the centre of the nucleus) was determined from 40 astrometric frames taken with the 61-inch astrometric reflector at the US Naval Observatory, which yielded formal errors (1 sigma) of +/- 16 km in right ascension and declination (the f, g coordinate systems in Figure 2). The broad, low-optical-depth feature (F3) is nearly symmetrical around the closest approach time of the event, and F4 occurs near the orbit-plane crossing for the KAO (at 575 +/- 5 s after 23:20:01 UT-the uncertainty is larger than the uncertainty in the closest approach owing to the oblique intersection of the apparent star path with the orbit plane).



Figure 2. The Chiron-centred sky-plane. Chiron's shadow at Earth is indicated in the (f,g) coordinate system [11], in which f is parallel to right ascension and g is parallel to declination. The orbit plane of Chiron has been plotted for reference. Along the paths probed by Ch08 as seen from the KAO and SAAO, relevant times for light-curve features are indicated, and time increases to the southeast. Note that the occultation probed the orbit plane ahead of Chiron. Along the apparent path of the star, we have indicated the positions of the features derived from the KAO optical light curve. Coincidentally both stations probed nearly the same region near Chiron. The uncertainty in the closest approach time is the geometrical error divided by the shadow velocity (approximately 0.9 s). Chiron was 15 degrees from opposition at the occultation time, so the vector to the Sun is mostly out of the plane of the figure. A Chiron radius of 83 km has been assumed [8] (indicated by the shaded circle). For the KAO, the occultation shadow probes the orbit plane at 575 s after 23:20:01 UT, and there is a 3 sigma occultation feature evident in the light curve at this time (F4). If F1 and F2 are caused by jets originating from the limb of the nucleus nearest to where these features were detected, then extending lines from F1 and F2 to Chiron's centre, we see that F2 would be on the side of the nucleus facing the Sun (consistent with the jet hypothesis) and F1 would be on the sunrise or sunset limb (marginally consistent with the jet hypothesis). Owing to projection effects, however, either feature could be connected to a sunlit or dark portion of the nucleus. Note that F3 is nearly symmetrical with respect to Chiron, as would be expected for a bound coma. At closest approach, Chiron was 8.83 AU from the Sun and 7.87 AU from the Earth.

We can argue against the possibility that F1 is the signature of a small, sharp-edged body or that of a grazing occultation by the nucleus. Although a small body (or the nucleus) could produced a partial occultation, its characteristic dimensions would be of the order of the Fresnel diffraction scale, where radical lambda is the wavelength of observation and D is the body-observer distance. These values are 0.9 and 1.6 km for the optical and infrared data respectively (the projected angular diameter of the star at Chiron's distance would be even less, only 0.1 km). The wings of F1 in the KAO optical light curve are much broader than this, ruling out a solid body or nuclear occultation (unless either of these were surrounded by a dust cloud that produced the wings).

Accepting that F1 is not a partial nuclear occultation, we can place a 3 sigma upper limit on Chiron's radius of 156 km from our closest approach distance of 108 +/- 16 km. Compare this with the 83 km lower limit on Chiron's radius derived from the previous stellar occultation [8] and radii ranging between 74 +/- 11 km and 104 +/- 10 km from thermal infrared observations [5].

Other possibilities for F1 would be a suborbital arch, dust jet, or shell--the last two of which are seen in the

comae of comets [12] and emanating from the nucleus of comet Halley [13,14]. This interpretation would be consistent with the smooth appearance of the coma from ground-based imaging [15-17], as all features we see would not be resolved in those data. The interpretation of F1 as caused by the outflow from a conical jet requires a spreading angle of approximately 12 degrees for our nominal geometrical solution and a nuclear radius of 83 km ([8]), if we assume that the jet originates on the limb in the sky plane and from a small active area on the surface-- consistent with the 1-2 km radii for active areas that would have been required to produce the visible coma at earlier times [3,16-18]. Larger and smaller spreading angles are possible for other assumptions.

The ratio of extinction at infrared to that at optical wavelengths for F1 is formally 1.31 + -0.65 (over equal integration intervals of 1.0 s). For the particle-size distributions found for comet Halley (n^a dn, with alpha between -4 for particles with radii of approximately 100 micron and - 1.54 for those with radii approximately 0.1 micron) [19], this ratio would be much less than one. Hence the extinction in F1 can not be caused by this type of particle-size distribution, but must be dominated by large particles. To obtain some quantitative estimate of the lower limit on the particle size. we use Mie theory for spherical particles [20], and find that for various compositions from silicate

Ovid: Jet-like features near the nucleus of Chiron.

to tholin to graphite these data are consistent with a lower limit of 0.25 micron [21] on the radius of particles. So most of the extinction we measure must be contributed by particles larger than this, but somewhat different limits would apply for non-spherical particles, with indices of refraction different from our assumption [20]. This conclusion for F1 shows a particle population differing from that measured [22] by the particle detectors on the Halley spacecraft, which found a significant population of particles with radii smaller than 0.25 micron. The material probed here, however, is from a different object, much further from the Sun, and sampled much closer to the nucleus. An upper limit to the radii of particles that can be lifted from the nucleus by escaping gas has been estimated [17] at 100 micron for CO and 10 micrometer for CO₂.

If one interprets F1 as a jet, it is natural to interpret F2 as a jet also. Being probed further from the nucleus than F1, it has spread into a broader morphology. In fact, it is possible that F1 and F2 are caused by a single structure-shaped as a spiral or suborbital arch--that has been probed twice. In order to test this hypothesis, one would need to know the rotation of the nucleus. Although the period has been measured quite accurately [2,3,17], the direction of the rotation pole [23], estimated from the imaged coma morphology, disagrees somewhat with constraints from photometry [2]. Because we are seeing these features projected onto a plane, however, their distances from the nucleus in this plane are only lower limits on their true distances. Furthermore, the vector to the Sun points mostly out of the sky plane, so that either F1 or F2 could be emanating from a sunlit or dark side of the nucleus. Despite these uncertainties, we presently feel that F1 and F2 are probably caused by two distinct dust jets from the nucleus.

Although F3 is much broader, it could possibly be a jet, shell, or other shape [12] --seen much further from the nucleus than F1 or F2, but appearing nearly symmetrical with respect to the nucleus owing to projection effects. Another, and perhaps more plausible, explanation for F3 is that it is a symmetrical cloud of dust around the nucleus. If this material is flowing out uniformly at the speed of sound for CO (160 m s sup -1) [17], then the material rate of loss (for particles 10 micron in radius and density 1 g cm sup -3) would be several orders of magnitude larger than that needed to account for the extended coma (in 1990, when Chiron was at least 0.5 mag brighter in H_v) [16,17,23]. Therefore we believe that the material causing F3 is not flowing outwards from the nucleus at the speed of sound, but is possibly gravitationally bound to the nucleus--a bound coma [16,17]. Such a feature would be unique to Chiron because it is the only known comet large enough for gravity to hold dust in bound orbits for periods ranging from tens of days [18] to a year [16]. If F3 is a manifestation of a bound coma, it may be only a small part, with the remainder having too low an optical depth to be detectable in these data.

Received 15 August; accepted 24 November 1994.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank the entire KAO crew for their outstanding work, especially mission director J. McClenahan and navigator R. Morrison; we also thank the members of the Brazilian and US governments who worked out agreements that allowed KAO to use Brazilian air space and airport accommodation on short notice. The NICMOSII FPA used in these observations was donated by Rockwell International Science Centre. This work was supported in part by NASA.

REFERENCES

- 1. Tholen, D. J., Hartmann, W. K. & Cruikshank, D. P. IAU Circ. No. 4554 (1988). [Context Link]
- 2. Marcialis, R. L. & Buratti, B. J. Icarus 104, 234-243 (1993). [Context Link]
- 3. Bus, S. J., Bowell, E., Harris, A. W. & Hewitt, A. V. Icarus 77, 223-238 (1989). [Context Link]
- 4. Sykes, M. V. & Walker, R. G. Science 251, 777-780 (1991). [Context Link]
- 5. Campins, H. et al. Astr. J. 108, 2318-2322 (1994). [Context Link]
- 6. Jewitt, D. & Luu, J. Nature 362, 730-732 (1993). [Context Link]
- 7. Scholl, H. Icarus 40, 345-349 (1979). [Context Link]
- 8. Buie, M. W. IAU Circ. No. 5898 (1993). [Context Link]

9. Bus, S. J., Wasserman, L. H. & Elliot, J. L. Astr. J. 107, 1814-1824 (1994). [Context Link]

10. Bowell, E. et al. in Asteroids II (eds Binzel, R. P., Gehrels, T. & Matthews, M. S.) (Univ. Arizona Press, Tucson, 1989). [Context Link]

11. Elliot, J. L. et al. Astr. J. 106, 2544-2572 (1993). [Context Link]

12. Sekanina, Z. in Comets in the Post-Halley Era (eds Newburn, R. L., Neugebauer, M. & Rahe, J.) 769-823 (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1991). [Context Link]

13. Sagdeev, R. Z. et al. Nature 321, 262-266 (1986). [Context Link]

14. Sekanina, Z. & Larson, S. M. Nature 321, 357-361 (1986). [Context Link]

15. West, R. M. Astr. Astrophys. 241, 635-645 (1991). [Context Link]

16. Meech, K. J. & Belton, M. J. S. Astr, J. 100, 1323-1338 (1990). [Context Link]

17. Luu, J. X. & Jewitt, D. C. Astr. J. 100, 913-932 (1990). [Context Link]

18. Stern, S. A., Jackson, A. A. & Boice, D. C. Astr. J. 107, 765-771 (1994). [Context Link]

19. Lamy, P. L., Grun, E. & Perrin, J. M. Astr. Astrophys. 187, 767-773 (1987). [Context Link]

20. van de Hulst, H. C. Light Scattering by Small Particles (Dover, New York, 1981). [Context Link]

21. Olkin, C. B. et al. in Proc. Airborne Astronomy Symp. on the Galactic Ecosystem: From Gas to Stars to Dust (eds Haas, M. R., Davidson, J. A. & Erickson, E. F.) (Astr. Soc. Pacif., San Francisco, 1994). [Context Link]

22. McDonnell, J. A. M., Lamy, P. L. & Pankiewicz, G. S. in Comets in the Post-Halley Era (eds Newburn, R. L., Neugebauer, M. & Rahe, J.) 1043-1073 (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1991). [Context Link]

23. Fulle, M. Astr. Astrophys. 282, 980-988 (1994). [Context Link]

24. Buie, M. W. et al. Bull. Am. Astro. Soc. 25, 1115 (1993).

GALERIE D'IMAGES

Sélectionner Tout

Exporter la sélection vers PowerPoint





03/06/13 13:03

Copyright (c) 2000-2012 Ovid Technologies inc. Dispositions | Support & Training | Notre société | Nous contacter Version: OvidSP_UI03.08.01.105, SourceID 57688