AGN feedback in hydro-dynamical numerical simulations : consequences for the formation of massive galaxies

# Yohan Dubois

Julien Devriendt – University of Oxford Adrianne Slyz – University of Oxford Romain Teyssier – UTH Zürich / CEA Saclay Taysun Kimm – University of Oxford Christophe Pichon – IAP Sébasten Peirani – IAP Raphael Gavazzi – IAP Sandrine Codis – IAP Thierry Sousbie - IAP Martin Haehnelt – University of Cambridge Dmitry Pogosyan – University of Alberta RAMSES : an Adaptive Mesh Refinement (AMR) code

- Language :
  - Fortran 90
  - MPI parallelization
- Method : adaptive grid refinement
- Equations :
  - Hydrodynamics
  - Magneto-hydrodynamics
  - Gravity
  - Atomic/Metal cooling + UV-heating
  - Radiative transfer
- Sub-grid physics :
  - Star formation
  - Supernovae
  - <u>Active Galactic Nuclei</u> (AGN)
- Cosmology

See Teyssier, 2002



# Motivation for AGN feedback



First AMR simulations of self-consistent AGN feedback in a cosmological context

- Mimic the formation of black holes (where and when) In the centre of galaxies in high gas and stellar-density regions

$$M_{\rm seed} = 10^5 \,\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$$

First AMR simulations of self-consistent AGN feedback in a cosmological context

- Mimic the formation of black holes (where and when)
- Mimic the gas accretion onto black holes

In the centre of galaxies in high gas and stellar-density regions

$$M_{\rm seed} = 10^5 \,{\rm M}_{\odot}$$

Bondi accretion rate

$$\dot{M}_{\rm BH} \propto \rho \frac{M_{\rm BH}^2}{c_{\rm s}^3}$$

Fast accretion in dense and cold regions

First AMR simulations of self-consistent AGN feedback in a cosmological context



Lookback time (Gyr)

6/22/12

friend algorithm)

Eirst AMR simulations of self-consistent AGN feedback in a cosmological context

Mimic the formation of black holes (where and when)
Mimic the gas accretion onto black holes
Mimic the mergers between black holes (Friend-of-friend algorithm)
Mimic the feedback from black holes (AGN)

With thermal input (Teyssier et al., 2011)

(see Sijacki, Di Matteo et al. papers, and Booth & Schaye papers)

z= 0.519803
Teyssier et al. 2011



Modification of the internal energy

-> increase the gas temperature

First AMR simulations of self-consistent AGN feedback in a cosmological context

- Mimic the formation of black holes (where and when)
- Mimic the gas accretion onto black holes
- Mimic the mergers between black holes (Friend-offriend algorithm)
- Mimic the feedback from black holes (AGN)

With thermal input (Teyssier et al., 2011) or with jets (Dubois et al., 2010, 2011)

$$L_{\rm AGN} = \epsilon_f \epsilon_r \dot{M}_{\rm BH} c^2$$



Compute gas angular momentum around the black hole -> jet axis

Kinetic energy with bipolar outflow

Mass ejected with velocity 10 000 km/s

(jet-model based on Omma et al. 2004)

First AMR simulations of self-consistent AGN feedback in a cosmological context - Mimic the formation of black holes (where and when) - Mimic the gas accretion onto black holes - Mimic the mergers between black holes (Friend-offriend algorithm) - Mimic the feedback from black holes (AGN) With thermal input (Teyssier et al., 2011)  $L_{\rm AGN} = \epsilon_f \epsilon_r \dot{M}_{\rm BH} c^2$ or with jets (Dubois et al., 2010, 2011) Fabian et al. (2006) Dubois et al. (2010) 450 kpc 100 kpc Simulation **Observation** (Perseus) X-ray (3 bands)

#### **Two modes for AGN feedback**



Heuristic efficiencies calibrated from simulations



#### Testing the model: parameters and resolution

**Table 1.** Simulations performed with different sub-grid galactic models, different parameters for the AGN feedback mode, and different resolutions. (a) Name of the simulation. (b) Number of DM particles. (c) Mass resolution of a DM particle. (d) Size of the simulation box. (e) Minimum resolution reached at z = 0. (f) Presence of feedback from SNe. (g) Presence of AGN feedback: "BH" stands for the formation and growth of BHs without AGN feedback, "Jet" stands for the radio mode only, "Heat" stands for the quasar mode only, and "JET/HEAT" stands for the quasar and radio mode both triggered in the same simulation (see text for details). (h) AGN feedback efficiency. (i) AGN energy delay. (j) Maximum relative velocity of the gas to the BH. (k) Mass loading factor of the jet. (l) Initial BH mass. (m) Size of the AGN energy input.

Name	$N_{\rm DM}$	$M_{ m DM}$ (M $_{\odot}/ m h$ )	$L_{\rm box}$ (Mpc/h)	$\Delta x$ (kpc/h)	SN	AGN	$\epsilon_{f}$	${\Delta M_{ m d}} \%$	$\frac{u_{ m max}}{( m km/s)}$	η	$M_{ m seed}$ (M $_{\odot}$ )	$r_{ m AGN}$
256L12noAGN 256L12JH	$\frac{256^3}{256^3}$		$12.5 \\ 12.5$	0.38 0.38	Yes Yes	No Jet/Heat	$^{-}_{1/0.15}$		 10	- 100/-	$10^{-5}$	$\Delta x$
64L25JH	$64^{3}$	$3.510^{9}$	25	3.04	Yes	Jet/Heat	1/0.15	0/-	10	100/-	$10^{5}$	$\Delta x$
128L25BH	$128^{3}$	$4.410^{8}$	25	1.52	Yes	BH	-	_	10	-	$10^{5}$	_
128L25J	$128^{3}$	$4.410^{8}$	25	1.52	Yes	Jet	1	0	10	100	$10^{5}$	$\Delta x$
128L25Je0.15	$128^{3}$	$4.410^{8}$	25	1.52	Yes	Jet	0.15	0	10	100	$10^{5}$	$\Delta x$
128L25Je0.01	$128^{3}$	$4.410^{8}$	25	1.52	Yes	Jet	0.01	0	10	100	$10^{5}$	$\Delta x$
128L25Jm1	$128^{3}$	$4.410^{8}$	25	1.52	Yes	Jet	1	1	10	100	$10^{5}$	$\Delta x$
128L25Jm10	$128^{3}$	$4.410^{8}$	25	1.52	Yes	Jet	1	10	10	100	$10^{5}$	$\Delta x$
128L25Jv100	$128^{3}$	$4.410^{8}$	25	1.52	Yes	Jet	1	0	100	100	$10^{5}$	$\Delta x$
128L25Jv1000	$128^{3}$	$4.410^{8}$	25	1.52	Yes	Jet	1	0	1000	100	$10^{5}$	$\Delta x$
$128L25J\eta 10$	$128^{3}$	$4.410^{8}$	25	1.52	Yes	Jet	1	0	10	10	$10^{5}$	$\Delta x$
$128L25J\eta 1000$	$128^{3}$	$4.410^{8}$	25	1.52	Yes	Jet	1	0	10	1000	$10^{5}$	$\Delta x$
128L25Js0.1	$128^{3}$	$4.410^{8}$	25	1.52	Yes	Jet	1	0	10	100	104	$\Delta x$
128L25Js10	$128^{3}$	$4.410^{8}$	25	1.52	Yes	Jet	1	0	10	100	$10^{6}$	$\Delta x$
128L25J2dx	$128^{3}$	$4.410^{8}$	25	1.52	Yes	Jet	1	0	10	100	$10^{5}$	$2\Delta x$
128L25J4dx	$128^{3}$	$4.410^8$	25	1.52	Yes	Jet	1	0	10	100	$10^{5}$	$4\Delta x$
128L25H	$128^{3}$	$4.410^{8}$	25	1.52	Yes	Heat	0.15	_	10	_	$10^{5}$	$\Delta x$
128L25H2dx	$128^{3}$	$4.410^{8}$	25	1.52	Yes	Heat	0.15	_	10	_	$10^{5}$	$2\Delta x$
128L25H4dx	$128^{3}$	$4.410^{8}$	25	1.52	Yes	Heat	0.15	_	10	_	$10^{5}$	$4\Delta x$
128L25JH	$128^{3}$	$4.410^{8}$	25	1.52	Yes	Jet/Heat	1/0.15	0/-	10	100/-	$10^{5}$	$\Delta x$
256L25noSNAGN	$256^{3}$	$5.510^{7}$	25	0.76	No	No	_	_	_	_	_	_
256L25noAGN	$256^{3}$	$5.510^{7}$	25	0.76	Yes	No	-	_	-	-	-	-
256L25JH	$256^{3}$	$5.510^{7}$	25	0.76	Yes	Jet/Heat	1/0.15	0/-	10	100/-	$10^{5}$	$\Delta x$
128L50noAGN	$128^{3}$	$3.510^{9}$	50	3.04	Yes	No	_	-	_	-	_	_
128L50JH	$128^{3}$	$3.510^{9}$	50	3.04	Yes	Jet/Heat	1/0.15	0/-	10	100/-	$10^{5}$	$\Delta x$
256L50noAGN	$256^{3}$	$4.410^{8}$	50	1.52	Yes	No	-	-	-	_	-	_
256L50JH	$256^{3}$	$4.410^{8}$	50	1.52	Yes	Jet/Heat	1/0.15	0/-	10	100/-	$10^{5}$	$\Delta x$

Dubois et al., 2012

#### **Parameter test: the efficiency**



BHs deposit the same energy / independent of the AGN efficiency

Dubois et al., 2012

# Fitting observationnal $M_{BH}$ - $M_*$ / $M_{BH}$ - $\sigma_*$ laws



Dubois et al., 2012

#### Radio mode or quasar mode ?



6/22/12

### Quasar mode versus radio mode

z=1.5 Quasar mode



z=0

# Mass distribution in a cluster of galaxies



# « Bimodality » in cluster cores



What physics drives the entropy profiles ?



Dubois et al., 2011



Dubois et al., 2011



Dubois et al., 2011

## Impact on intra-cluster gas properties



Dubois et al., 2011

#### Impact on intra-cluster gas properties





Dubois et al., 2011





Is the stellar content in groups/clusters consistent with stellar dynamics ?



Dubois, Gavazzi, Peirani, in prep.

#### Summary on AGN feedback

- AGN can reheat the core of massive halos and prevent cooling catastrophe
  - Efficiently suppresses star formation
  - Prevents high concentration of material
  - Change the thermodynamical properties of the intra-cluster gas
  - Powerful quasar modes are preferentially triggered at high redshift in gas rich systems (cold flows & wet mergers)
  - Quiescent radio modes are predominant at low redshift in massive structures (little cold material)

#### <u>Some issues</u>:

- Is the Bondi accretion the right definition ? Need to resolve the BH sphere of influence R<sub>BH</sub>=GM<sub>BH</sub>/σ<sup>2</sup> (< pc scale in most cases)</li>
- Does the AGN feedback from early BH growth can totally unbind the gas of compact bulges ?
- Do we need super-Eddington accretion to get very massive BHs @ high-z?
- What is the importance of the AGN mode: isotropic energy release versus collimated winds

# Thank you for your attention